

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Guited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Ber. 1550 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.napto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/993.546	11/27/2001	Masahiro Ozaki	AND-015-USAP	8416
7590 06/10/2004			EXAM	INER
Ronald R. Sn	ider		DICUS, T	AMRA
Snider & Associates P.O. Box 27613			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20038-7613			1774	

DATE MAILED: 06/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/993,546	OZAKI, MASAHIRO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tamra L. Dicus	1774			
The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 c after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory, Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. a reply within the statutory minimum of thin period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	26 February 2004.				
	·				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the					
closed in accordance with the practice un	der Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.[D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	ıminer				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Evaminer			
Applicant may not request that any objection t		·			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c	***	` ,			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	anian - davitus and an OF LLO O	0.440(-) (-)) (0.			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of:	reign priority under 35 U.S.C.	3 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority docu	manta haya haan raasiyad				
Certified copies of the priority documents of the priority docume		Application No.			
Copies of the certified c					
application from the International B		rreceived in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for	. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received			
	and the state of t				
Attachment(s)	_				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date			
Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S	6) Faper No. (5B/08) 5) Notice of I	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/993,546 Page 2

Art Unit: 1774

DETAILED ACTION

All rejections are withdrawn. Applicant's arguments, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-6 have been fully considered and are persuasive because Kazuo does not teach a toner image on a fiber layer as applicant asserted. '396 is overcome because Applicant argued hotprinting is not equivalent to electrophotography. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made set forth below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1 and 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP
 2840918 to Yugen et al. (English translation) in view of JP3-106396 to Hayashi et al. (English translation) and further in view of USPN 5869167 to Takeuchi et al.

Yugen teaches a transfer sheet. Yugen provides a similar structure of instant claims 1-6 (see Yugen patented claims 1-3 and Figures 1-4), but does not include a hair-transplanted sheet or a fiber layer. Hayashi teaches a thermal transfer sheet including short fiber layer made by electrostatic flocking (embraces electrophotography) of short fibers such as nylon (page 5, lines 1-5). Hayashi employs such short fibers to form a flocked pattern at page 3, /5-/6. While Hayashi does not refer to the transferred fiber and paper sheet as a hair-transplanted sheet, the teachings of Hayashi produce a functional equivalent. Hayashi and Yugen are analogous art

Application/Control Number: 09/993,546

Art Unit: 1774

because both references are in the same field of endeavor, such as the recording media technology.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the transfer sheet of Yugen to include the hair-transplanted sheet and fiber layer of Hayashi in order to form a flocked pattern (furry/velvety) as Hayashi describes at page 3, /5-/6. The modification results in improved image or design with no distortion or dislocation.

While Yugen provides a parting agent, Yugen does not teach it is an acrylic solvent parting agent. While Hayashi employs an adhesive property to the short fiber layer by employing solution type, emulsion type, or dispersion type inks of acrylic at page 4, /8-/9, Hayahsi does not expressly define the resin ink as an acrylic ester. Takeuchi teaches an electrophotographic decal transfer providing base material 11 of paper or coated paper having an adhesion layer 12 including acrylic resin soluble in organic solvents (equivalent to an acrylic solvent cohesive layer).

- 3. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the combination of Yugen and Hayashi to include an acrylic solvent and ester because Takeuchi provides an adhesion layer 12 comprised of an acrylic resin soluble in organic solvents for releasing properties (col. 3, lines 17-27 of Takeuchi).
- 4. Claims 2 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 2840918 to Yugen et al. (English translation) in view of JP3-106396 to Hayashi et al. (English translation) and further in view of USPN 5869167 to Takeuchi et al. and further in view of USPN 6,037,090 to Tanaka et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/993,546 Page 4

Art Unit: 1774

Yugen, Hayashi and Takeuchi are relied upon above. Yugen teaches a transparent acrylic urethane layer (8), but does not teach a transparent acrylic ester layer as instant claims 2 and 6 requires. Tanaka teaches a toner for electrophotography and preparation. Tanaka explains known binder resins that include acrylic ester resins, polyurethane used in combination (col. 5, lines 25-46) for fixing properties. Tanaka explains acrylic ester is preferred because of the advantage of obtaining color developability among fixing strength and chargeability properties (col. 5, lines 49-50). Yugen and Tanaka are analogous art because both references are involved in the same field of endeavor, namely the recording media technology.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute the urethane of the transparent acrylic urethane layer of Yugen with the acrylic ester of Tanaka because Tanaka teaches acrylic ester resins and urethanes are known binder resins that are conventionally used in combination or singly for fixing and color developability (col. 5, lines 25-50 of Tanaka).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Yugen is still used to teach the similar layered structure. Hayashi is now provided to teach hair-transplanted sheet with a fiber layer. Takeuchi is now provided to teach an electrophotographic decal using acrylic solvent as a parting agent. Tanaka is used to teach the benefits of using acrylic ester.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

 USPN 5,370,960 to Cahill et al. teaches an electrographic transfer medium with toner image (18) and adhesive layer (26). The adhesive layer (26) serves to protect the toner

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/993,546

Art Unit: 1774

image layer (18) and includes adhesive material comprised of acrylic copolymers or

urethanes.

USPN 5,849,447 to Matsuda teaches a recording paper and discloses fiber layers 31 on

paper and fiber layers 51 and 52 surrounding paper on each side.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Tamra L. Dicus whose telephone number is 571-272-1519. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:00-4:30 p.m., alternate Fridays. If

attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia

Kelly can be reached on 571-272-1526. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

June 4, 2004

[tld]

CYNTHIA H. KELLY SUPER PATENT EXAMINER

TE ... ILULOGY CENTER 1700

Cinal Head